

# Topological Map Merging for Distributed Mapping

Kris Beevers  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
Algorithmic Robotics Laboratory  
beevek@cs.rpi.edu

July 30, 2003

# Problem

- Distributed mapping and exploration
- Lots of robots, no central "authority"
- Unknown environment
- Unknown initial locations
- Unknown relative positions

## **Lots of sub-problems**

- What kinds of maps to build (metric, topological, etc.)
- How often should robots exchange data, and how
- How to distribute processing
- How to maintain a “global” view

## Querying a distributed map

- Who has what parts of a map?
- Planning using a distributed map
- What if we can't talk to some robots?
- What if we don't even know what parts of a map are available and what aren't?

# Topological maps

- Feature-based
- Graph representation
  - nodes are features, edges are paths between them
- A lot less data to send around than a metric map
- Can build these while we're building metric maps

## First problem to tackle

- Topological map-merging
- Given two topological maps created by different robots, how can we merge them accurately?
- Once we can do this, the two robots will:
  - have a common frame of reference
  - know who to query for more detailed data about areas they themselves don't know much about

## How to think about this

- Graph isomorphism: given two graphs  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1), G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ , find all mappings  $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  such that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are identical
  - in other words, decide whether the two graphs are the same!
- In particular, we care about "maximum subgraph isomorphism" — finding the largest common subgraphs in two graphs
- Lots of different versions of these problems make different assumptions

## Our assumptions

- Labeled nodes (e.g. "door", "corner", etc.)
- Some metric data
  - $(x, y)$  of nodes
  - metric information about edges
- Perhaps we can assume common ordering of edges incident to a node



## Another issue: error

- E.g. in metric data, especially in edge information, relative positions of nodes, etc.
- Another qualification: "error tolerant" maximum subgraph isomorphism
  - subgraph isomorphism that is resilient to errors in our metric data

## Other work in this area

- Choset and Nagatani: Topological simultaneous mapping and localization (use graph matching to perform localization)
- Tomatis: Use a global topological model to connect local metric maps
  - almost exactly what we want to do, but in the context of single-robot mapping
- Computer vision: lots of people have done work on error tolerant isomorphism
  - e.g. retinal scanning, fingerprint matching, etc.

## What we need to do next

- Dig through more work that has been done in this area, and understand it/decide how to use it
- Justify using topological map merging for distributed mapping
- Pen/paper algorithm fun!
- Try it (with our new robots?)